Phase 3 - Block 1 - Neurology and Opthalmology 2017-18	All	Denmark Hill Cluster		Lewisham Cluster		St Thomas' Cluster	
		King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust		Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust		East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust	Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
		King's College Hospital, London	Princess Royal University Hospital, Bromley	Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Woolwich	University Hospital Lewisham, London	Kent and Canterbury Hospital, Canterbury	Guy's and St Thomas' , London
Total responses:	120 (82%)	58	3	7	9	18	25
Average Score	4.19	4.05	4.57	3.92	4.03	4.36	4.23
Organisation and Induction							
The induction/ introductory session made me aware of the support services available to me during the placement	3.87	3.62	4.33	2.86	4.00	4.56	3.88
During my placement, the induction/ introductory session made me aware of the learning		2.55	4.22	2.74	4.44	4.50	2.02
resources available to me	3.86	3.55	4.33	2.71	4.11	4.50	3.92
Staff responsible for the coordination of the placement were helpful	4.18	3.86	5.00	3.57	4.33	4.50	3.80
My placement provided me with learning opportunities to meet my learning objectives	4.26	4.03	4.33	4.14	4.33	4.50	4.20
The clinical experience I received was relevant to my learning objectives	4.33	4.10	4.67	4.00	4.11	4.61	4.48
The rotation was well organised	3.86	3.38	4.33	3.14	4.00	4.61	3.68
The induction for this placement was good	3.79 4.06	3.67 3.40	4.33 4.00	2.43 3.86	3.89 4.33	4.56 4.56	3.84 4.20
I was given a clear timetable of teaching and clinical activities	4.06	3.40	4.00	3.80	4.33	4.56	4.20
Teaching and learning facilities There is sufficient access to clinical areas in this placement	4.47	4.12	5.00	4.29	4.56	4.61	4.24
The library is accessible during this placement	4.47	4.12	5.00	4.29	3.78	4.72	4.68
The IT facilities are adequate in this placement	3.80	4.45	4.33	3.43	2.67	3.61	4.32
The internet access is sufficient in this placement	3.38	4.38	2.33	2.71	2.67	3.67	4.52
There are suitable rooms for formal teaching during this placement	4.00	4.10	5.00	2.86	3.44	4.56	4.04
There is suitable space for private study during this placement	4.05	4.40	4.67	3.43	3.44	4.22	4.16
The clinical skills facilities are adequate in this placement	3.89	4.26	4.33	3.71	3.33	3.72	3.96
Overall, the facilities in this placement are good	4.08	4.26	4.67	3.29	3.67	4.39	4.24
Teaching and Learning							
Timetabled teaching sessions took place as planned in this placement or if not were							
appropriately rescheduled	4.39	4.22	4.67	3.86	4.44	4.61	4.52
The clinical teachers were prepared for the timetabled teaching	4.32	4.19	4.33	4.14	4.22	4.50	4.56
The clinical teachers were prepared for the bedside teaching	4.22	4.07	4.33	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.36
The clinical teachers were good at explaining things	4.61	4.50	5.00	4.71	4.33	4.44	4.68
The clinical teachers taught at an appropriate pace	4.51	4.38	5.00	4.57	4.22	4.39	4.48
The clinical teachers demonstrated professional behaviour	4.70	4.57	5.00	4.86	4.44	4.67	4.68
During my placement I was able to perform the required clinical skills	4.58	4.38	5.00	4.14	4.78	4.72	4.44
The clinical teachers demonstrated good communication skills with patients	4.61	4.52	5.00	4.86	4.00	4.61	4.68
I was clear about where to go for clinical advice in this placement	4.36	3.86	5.00	4.43	4.22	4.33	4.32
In this placement I felt part of the clinical team	3.84 4.43	3.24	4.33 4.67	4.14 4.43	3.89	3.78 4.39	3.64 4.60
The quality of teaching in this placement was good Learning Environment and Support	4.45	4.19	4.07	4.45	4.33	4.39	4.00
The clinical support on this placement was good	4.27	3.86	4.33	4.43	4.22	4.50	4.28
This placement provided a safe learning environment for me	4.44	4.31	4.33	4.43	4.44	4.67	4.48
I worked well with my peers during this placement	4.60	4.48	4.67	4.43	4.56	4.61	4.56
Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the placement	4.33	3.97	5.00	3.86	4.22	4.61	4.32
I received individual attention to help my learning in this placement	4.16	3.71	4.67	4.29	4.11	4.22	3.96
Feedback and Assessment							
During my placement I received feedback that helped me clarify things I did not							
understand	4.32	4.10	4.67	4.43	4.22	4.22	4.29
During my placement I received useful feedback on my performance	4.29	3.97	5.00	4.29	4.11	4.22	4.17
During my placement, I received formative assessments on my performance e.g.	3.78	3.72	4.33	3.43	3.56	3.89	3.75
supervised learning events (SLEs) Information on my end of year summative assessment and marking were made clear to							
me during the placement	3.57	3.55	4.33	3.29	3.11	3.67	3.46
In this placement I was proactive in seeking guidance on assessment	4.20	3.86	4.67	4.43	4.00	4.28	3.96
From this placement I feel confident I will pass my summative assessments	4.00	3.90	4.67	3.71	3.78	4.06	3.88
Overall Experience	4.40	4.20	4.67	4.20	4.22	4.50	4.46
Overall, how would you rate your Debthalmology experience?	4.40	4.29	4.67	4.29	4.22	4.50 2.79	4.46
Overall, how would you rate your Ophthalmology experience? I would recommend this placement to other students	3.97 4.41	3.95 4.05	4.00 5.00	4.14 4.14	3.89 4.33	3.78 4.50	4.08 4.42
This placement was intellectually stimulating	4.41	4.03	5.00	4.14	4.33	4.50	4.54
This placement was intellectually stillulating This placement met my expectations	4.19	3.81	4.33	3.86	4.44	4.50	4.21
This placement met my expectations	7.19	5.01	7.55	3.00	4.44	4.50	7.21

Key

Less than 3.0

Greater than or equal to 3.0 and less than 3.55

Greater than or equal to 3.55 and less than 4.50

Greater than or equal to 4.50